

On the problem of stability of the multiplicity/degree of a polynomial along a family of basic semialgebraic sets

V. Grandjean ¹ & M. Michalska ²

UFC ⁽¹⁾ & UŁ ⁽²⁾

Łódź - 11/01/2017

degree along a subset

Question

$X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. What is degree of $f \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ along X ?

Definition

$$\deg^X f := \inf \left\{ \nu : \frac{f(x)}{|x|^\nu} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } X \ni x \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

Consequence(s)

$$\deg^X f \leq \deg f.$$

local multiplicity along a subset

Question

$X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and origin $\mathbf{0} \in \text{clos}(X)$.

What is multiplicity of $f \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ at $\mathbf{0}$ along X ?

Definition

$$\text{mult}_{\mathbf{0}}^X f := \sup \left\{ \mu : \frac{f(x)}{|x|^\mu} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } X \ni x \rightarrow \mathbf{0} \right\}.$$

Consequence(s)

$$\text{mult}_{\mathbf{0}}^X f \geq \text{deg in}(f).$$

Degree = local multiplicity

Let $\iota : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{0}$ be $x \rightarrow \frac{x}{|x|^2}$.

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

Thus $f = f_d + f_{d-1} + \dots + f_0$ with $d = \deg f$.

Let $\tilde{f}(y) := |y|^{2d} f(\iota(y)) = f_d(y) + |y|^2 f_{d-1}(y) + \dots + |y|^{2d} f_0$.

Lemma

For $X \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \mathbf{0}$ and $f \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$

$$\deg^X f = 2d - \text{mult}_{\mathbf{0}}^{\iota(X)} \tilde{f}.$$

Semialgebraic setting

Theorem (Folklore)

If $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ closed, semialgebraic and $\mathbf{0} \in S$, then

$$\text{mult}_{\mathbf{0}}^S f \in \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$$

for any $f \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

proof of Theorem "Folklore" I

Assume (for talk): $S = \text{clos. of its inter.}$

Let $Z = \text{Zariski clos. of } \partial S.$

Let $\mathbf{b}_0 : (M_0, E_0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbf{0})$ blow.-up of $\mathbf{0}$.

Let $\pi := \sigma \circ \mathbf{b}_0 : (M, E) \rightarrow (M_0, \sigma(E)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \pi(E))$ be an (admissible) emb. res. of sing. of Z , that is a *principalization* and *monomialization* of I_Z . Namely

proof of Theorem "Folklore" II

- E is a SNC divisor;
- π^*I_Z is principal and monomial in E .

Let $\mathfrak{m}_0 \subset \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ be max. ideal at $\mathbf{0}$.

Let $S^\pi := \text{clos}(\pi^{-1}(S) \setminus E)$.

Consequence(s)

- 1) $E_0 := \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ is SNC;
- 2) $\pi^*(\mathfrak{m}_0)$ is principal and monomial in E_0 ;
- 3) For any comp. H of E_0
 - either $S^\pi \cap H$ is Zariski dense in H ,
 - or is \emptyset .

proof of Theorem "Folklore" III

Let $E_0^S :=$ union of comp. $H \subset E_0$ s. t. $S^\pi \cap H$ is Zariski dense in H .

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ and H be a comp. of E_0^S . Find

$$\pi^*((f)) = I_H^{\varphi_H} \cdot J$$

for $\varphi_H = \text{mult}_H \pi^*(f) \in \mathbb{N}$, and J ideal s. t. $Z(J) \cap H$ of $\text{codim} \geq 2$.

We get $\pi^*(\mathbf{m}_0) = I_H^{\alpha_H} \cdot M_H$ and deduce

$$\text{mult}_0^S f := \min_{H \in E_0^S} \frac{\varphi_H}{\alpha_H}$$

Motivations

Roughly speaking such investigations are related to (among other things):

- subalgebra of polynomials bounded on a given semialgebraic set (unbounded preferably);
- representation of positive polynomials;
- related problems to constrained optimization;

what is it about

Let $f, g_1, \dots, g_N \in \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, pairwise \mathbb{R} -lin. indep. & both vanish at $\mathbf{0}$.

Let $\underline{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Let $S_{\underline{t}} := \text{clos}\{f_{\underline{t}} > 0\}$ for $\underline{t} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ with $f_{\underline{t}} = f + \sum_i t_i g_i$.

Hypothesis: $\{f_{\underline{t}} > 0\} \neq \emptyset$ for all \underline{t} .

Problem (of Stability)

How many different functions $\text{mult}_{\mathbf{0}}^{S_{\underline{t}}}$ are there ?

Statement of the result

Theorem (Gr. & Michalska - 2016)

There exists $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ closed semialg. of codim ≥ 1 , s. t. for any con. comp. Λ of $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Sigma$ and for any $\underline{t} \in \Lambda$ the fct. $\text{mult}_0^{S_{\underline{t}}}$ is indep. of \underline{t} .

Known for $n = 2, N = 1$ from Kurdyka & Michalska & Spodzieja (2014).

Ingredients of proof I

$N = 1$ and $g := g_1$.

Let $\pi : (M, E) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, \pi(E))$ be adm. resol. of sing. of both ideals (f) and (g) which we require to factor through the blowing-up of $\mathbf{0}$.

Consequence(s)

$E_0 := \pi^{-1}(\mathbf{0})$ is SNC divisor.

Theorem, for $N = 1$, reduces to show

Lemma

There are at most fin. many t for which exists a comp. H_t of E_0 s. t. $S_t^\pi \cap H_t$ is neither Zariski dense in H_t nor empty, for $S_t^\pi := \text{clos}(\pi^{-1}(S_t) \setminus E)$

ingredients of proof II

For H a comp. of E then

$$\pi^*((f)) = I_H^{\varphi_H} \cdot F_H,$$

and

$$\pi^*((g)) = I_H^{\gamma_H} \cdot G_H$$

and

$$\pi^*(\mathbf{m}_0) = I_H^{\alpha_H} \cdot M_H$$

$f(\mathbf{0}) = g(\mathbf{0}) = 0 \implies \varphi_H, \gamma_H \geq \alpha_H \geq 1$ for each H comp. of E_0 .

ingredients of proof III - Illustration of typical argument

H comp. of E_0 .

$\mathbf{p} \in H$ not a corner point of E . Nearby \mathbf{p}

$$\pi^* f = u^{\varphi_H} \cdot \psi_f, \quad \text{and} \quad \pi^* g = u^{\gamma_H} \cdot \psi_g$$

with u loc. gen. of I_H at \mathbf{p} , and ψ_h , for $h = f, g$, loc. unit at \mathbf{p} .

If $\frac{f}{g}|_H \neq \text{const}$, then $\pi^* f_t = u^{\min(\varphi_H, \gamma_H)} \cdot \psi_t$ near \mathbf{p} .

Lemma

$\min(\varphi_H, \gamma_H)$ odd $\implies S_t^\pi \cap H = H$ (Zariski dense in H).