

LIMITING CASES OF A UNIVALENCE CRITERION  
OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Z. Lewandowski, A. Wesołowski (Lublin)

1. In this article we investigate limiting cases of the following univalence criterion

**Theorem 1** ([3]). *Let  $a \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $s = \alpha + \beta i$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$  be fixed numbers and let  $f(z) = z + \dots$  and  $g(z)$  be regular in  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . If the following inequalities*

$$(1) \quad \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} - \frac{as}{\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{a|s|}{\alpha}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \left| |z|^{\frac{2a}{\alpha}} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} + \left(1 - |z|^{\frac{2a}{\alpha}}\right) \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + s \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right] - \frac{as}{\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{a|s|}{\alpha}$$

hold for  $z \in D$ , then  $f$  is univalent in  $D$ .

These limiting cases seem to be interesting as they lead to some well-known and important classes of univalent functions as well as to new univalence criteria (see Theorems 5 and 6).

In what follows we need the following important theorem to prove some of our results.

**Theorem 2** ([5]). *Let  $f$  be Bazilevič function of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$ . Then, for each  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,*

$$\int_{\Theta_1}^{\Theta_2} \left\{ 1 + \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} + (\alpha - 1) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] - \beta \operatorname{Im} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} d\Theta > -\pi, \quad z = re^{i\Theta},$$

whenever  $\Theta_1 < \Theta_2$ . Conversely, if  $f$  is regular in  $D$ , with  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f(z) \neq 0$  ( $0 < |z| < 1$ ) and  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in D$ , and if  $f$  satisfies the last inequality for  $0 < r < 1$ , where  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $f$  is univalent in  $D$ , and is Bazilevič function of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in the case  $\alpha > 0$ .

Let us remind that  $f$  is Bazilevič function of type  $(\alpha, \beta)$  if for some  $\alpha > 0$  it can be represented by the formula

$$f(z) = \left[ \int_0^z \frac{p(z)}{p(0)} h^\alpha(z) z^{i\beta-1} dz \right]^{\frac{1}{\alpha+i\beta}} \quad \text{for } z \in D,$$

where  $h$ ,  $h(0) = 0$ , is a starlike and univalent function in  $D$ ,  $p$  is regular, and has positive real part there ([1]).

In what follows we denote by  $B(\alpha, \beta)$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , the class of functions  $f$  satisfying the assumption of Theorem 2 and having the usual normalization  $f(0) = 0$ ,  $f'(0) = 1$ .

**2.** We come now to the mentioned limiting cases.

a)  $a \rightarrow \infty$ . In this case we obtain the class  $B(\alpha, \beta)$  of Bazilevič functions ( $\alpha > 0$ ). It was considered earlier in [2].

b)  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\beta = 0$ . Then (1) and (2) lead to the following inequalities

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} - a \right| \leq a \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - a \right| \leq a,$$

which determine some subclass of the class  $S^*$  of functions of the form  $f(z) = z + \dots$ , that are univalent and starlike with respect to the origin in  $D$ .

c)  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\beta \rightarrow \beta_0 \neq 0$ . Relations (1) and (2) lead to the following inequalities

$$(3) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{1}{i\beta_0} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} \right] \geq 0$$

and

$$(4) \quad \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{1}{i\beta_0} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right] \geq 0$$

for  $z \in D$ , respectively.

Now, we shall prove the following

**Theorem 3.** Let  $f(z) = z + \dots$  and  $g(z)$  be regular in  $D$  with  $f'(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in D$ , and let (3) and (4) hold in  $D$ . Then  $f \in B\left(0, -\frac{1}{\beta_0}\right)$ .

*Proof.* In what follows let us put  $\beta_0 = \beta \in \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$ . From (3) we obtain

$$(5) \quad \frac{1}{i\beta} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} = p(z), \quad p(0) = \frac{1}{i\beta g(0)}, \quad p(0) \neq 0, \quad \operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } z \in D.$$

Inequality (4), according to maximum principle, gives

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{i\beta} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1}{i\beta}, \quad z \in D.$$

We deduce from (5) and (6) that

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{i\beta} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{1}{i\beta}, \quad z \in D.$$

It follows from (7) and by  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq 0$  in  $D$  and  $p(0) \neq 0$  that

$$(8) \quad \int_{\Theta_1}^{\Theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1}{i\beta} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} d\Theta > -\pi,$$

$$z = re^{i\Theta}, \quad r \in (0, 1),$$

whenever  $\Theta_1 < \Theta_2$ . Our assertion follows by Theorem 2 for  $\alpha = 0$ .

d)  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\beta \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Inequalities (1) and (2) lead to the relation (7) and for  $\beta \rightarrow \pm\infty$  we obtain the limiting case of (8), which by Theorem 2 for  $\alpha = 0$  proves that  $f \in B(0, 0)$ .

*Remark* ([5]).  $f \in B(0, \beta)$  if and only if

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \left( \frac{f(z)}{z} \right)^{i\beta} = h(z),$$

where  $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\lambda}h(z)) > 0$  for  $z \in D$  and some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Hence  $B(0, 0) = \check{S}$  denotes the class of univalent and spiral-like functions of Špaček ([6]).

e)  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\beta \rightarrow 0$ .

1<sup>0</sup>  $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Then (1) and (2) lead to  $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \mp i \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} \right\} \geq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \mp i \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} \geq 0$  for  $z \in D$ , respectively, which, in turn, according to the minimum principle of harmonic functions, determine the function  $f(z) = z$  in  $D$ .

2<sup>0</sup>  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \rightarrow \beta_1 \neq 0$ . From (1) we obtain

$$\left| e^{i\gamma} \frac{zf'(z)}{af(z)} - \sqrt{1 + \beta_1^2} \right| \leq \sqrt{1 + \beta_1^2}, \quad \gamma = \arg(1 + i\beta_1).$$

This inequality determines immediately a subclass of  $\check{S}$ .

3<sup>0</sup>  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \rightarrow 0$ . Then  $\frac{|s|}{\alpha} \rightarrow 1$  and from (1) and (2) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} - a \right| \leq a \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - a \right| \leq a.$$

Thus in this case we obtain a subclass of  $S^*$ .

**3.** Now let  $\alpha > 0$  be a fixed number and  $\beta \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Then (1) and (2) lead to

$$g(z) = \pm ip(z) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \left( 1 - |z|^{\frac{2\alpha}{\alpha}} \right) \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} - \frac{a}{\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{a}{\alpha} \quad \text{for } z \in D,$$

respectively, where  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq 0$ ,  $z \in D$ . Hence we obtain by simple calculation

$$(9) \quad \left| \left(1 - |z|^{\frac{2a}{\alpha}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} - \frac{a}{\alpha}\right) \right| \leq \frac{a}{\alpha} \quad \text{for } z \in D.$$

This inequality, in turn, implies the following one

$$\operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } z \in D.$$

Hence we obtain for  $\Theta_1 < \Theta_2$

$$\int_{\Theta_1}^{\Theta_2} \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) d\Theta > -\pi, \quad z = re^{i\Theta}, \quad r \in (0, 1).$$

Thus by Theorem 2 and by Remark  $f \in \check{S}$ .

4. In this section we will consider the case  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ . There are two following possibilities.

a)  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Then (1) leads to the equality  $g(z) = \pm ip(z) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$  where  $\operatorname{Re} p(z) \geq 0$  in  $D$ . Multiplying both sides of (2) by  $\frac{\alpha}{|\beta|}$  and considering the equality

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \alpha \left(1 - |z|^{\frac{2a}{\alpha}}\right) \right] = -2a \ln |z|, \quad |z| < 1,$$

we obtain from (2) in the limit the following inequality

$$\left| 1 + 2 \ln |z| \left| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right| \right| \leq 1.$$

From the above considerations we eventually obtain

$$\left| 1 + 2 \ln |z| \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}\right) \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } z \in D.$$

This inequality is true if and only if  $f$  satisfies the following differential equation

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = 0 \quad \text{for } z \in D.$$

It is easy to verify that each solution  $f$  of this equation is an element of  $S^*$ .

b)  $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \rightarrow \beta_0$ . Then  $\frac{S}{\alpha} = 1 + i\frac{\beta}{\alpha} \rightarrow 1 + i\beta_0$ . Multiplying both sides of (1) and (2) by  $\frac{\alpha}{|\beta|}$  and considering the equality

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \alpha \left(1 - |z|^{\frac{2a}{\alpha}}\right) \right] = -2a \ln |z|, \quad |z| < 1,$$

we obtain from (1) and (2) in the limit the following inequalities

$$\left| e^{-i\varphi_0} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} - a\sqrt{1 + \beta_0^2} \right| \leq a\sqrt{1 + \beta_0^2}$$

and

$$\left| e^{-i\varphi_0} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 2a\sqrt{1+\beta_0^2} \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \ln|z| - a\sqrt{1+\beta_0^2} \right| \leq a\sqrt{1+\beta_0^2}$$

respectively, where  $\varphi_0 = \arg(1+\beta_0)$  and  $z \in D$ .

If we put  $g_1(z) = ae^{i\varphi_0}\sqrt{1+\beta_0^2}g(z)$  and denote  $g_1$  again by  $g$ , then we obtain from the last inequalities the following ones

$$(10) \quad \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1$$

and

$$(11) \quad \left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} - 2\ln|z| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1,$$

respectively, for  $z \in D$ .

These inequalities represent a univalence criterion of  $f$  in  $D$  but it is not evident immediately. It will be proved in the next section (Theorem 4).

5. We come now to the formulation and proof of

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $f(z) = z + \dots$  and  $g(z)$  be regular in  $D$  with  $f'(z) \neq 0$  there, and let inequalities (10) and (11) hold for  $z \in D$ . Then  $f$  is univalent in  $D$ .*

*Proof.* It follows by (10) that  $g(z) \neq 0$  for  $z \in D$  and  $|\frac{1}{g(0)} - 1| \leq 1$  or  $\operatorname{Re} g(0) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ . Let us first assume that  $\operatorname{Re} g(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ . Then we deduce by (10) that left-hand side of (10) attains its maximum at the point  $z = 0$ . Thus according to maximum principle we obtain  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} = \frac{1}{g(0)}$  for  $z \in D$ . Combining this with (11) we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{g(0)} - 2\ln|z| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| \leq 1.$$

But  $\frac{1}{g(0)} - 1 = e^{i\varphi}$  for some  $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Therefore the last inequality may be written in the following form

$$\left| e^{i\varphi} - 2\ln|z| \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right| \leq 1.$$

This inequality can be true if and only if  $g(z) = g(0)$  for  $z \in D$ . Therefore  $\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = 1$  in  $D$  or  $f(z) \equiv z$ . Thus Theorem 4 is true in the considered case.

Now we assume that  $\operatorname{Re} g(0) > \frac{1}{2}$  and define the following family of functions

$$f(z, t) = f(ze^{-t}) \exp[2tg(ze^{-t})], \quad z \in D, \quad t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle.$$

If  $f(z, t) = a(t)z + \dots$ , then  $a(t) = e^{t(2g(0)-1)}$ . Hence  $|a(t)| = e^{t(2\operatorname{Re} g(0)-1)}$  and  $|a(t)| \rightarrow \infty$ . Moreover,  $\frac{f(z, t)}{a(t)} \rightarrow z$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  local uniformly in  $D$ . Therefore the family  $\left\{ \frac{f(z, t)}{a(t)} \right\}$  is normal in  $D$ .

Now by simple calculation we obtain

$$A(z, t) = \frac{f'_t(z, t)}{zf'_z(z, t)} = -1 + 2g(ze^{-t}) \left[ ze^{-t} \frac{f'(ze^{-t})}{f(ze^{-t})} + 2tze^{-t}g'(ze^{-t}) \right]^{-1}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\left| \frac{A(z, t) - 1}{A(z, t) + 1} \right| = \left| \frac{ze^{-t}f'(ze^{-t})}{f(ze^{-t})g(ze^{-t})} + 2t \frac{ze^{-t}g'(ze^{-t})}{g(ze^{-t})} - 1 \right|.$$

Taking  $ze^{-t} = \zeta$  in the last equality we obtain for  $|z| = 1$  and  $t \geq 0$  the following one

$$\left| \frac{A(e^{i\Theta}, t) - 1}{A(e^{i\Theta}, t) + 1} \right| = \left| \frac{\zeta f'(\zeta)}{f(\zeta)g(\zeta)} - 2 \ln |\zeta| \frac{\zeta g'(\zeta)}{g(\zeta)} - 1 \right|.$$

Hence by (11) we obtain

$$\left| \frac{A(z, t) - 1}{A(z, t) + 1} \right| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } z \in D, \quad t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle.$$

From the assumption  $\operatorname{Re} g(0) > \frac{1}{2}$  we deduce that  $\left| \frac{A(0, t) - 1}{A(0, t) + 1} \right| = \left| 1 - \frac{1}{g(0)} \right| < 1$ . Then according to maximum principle we eventually obtain

$$\left| \frac{A(z, t) - 1}{A(z, t) + 1} \right| < 1 \quad \text{for } z \in D, \quad t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle.$$

This inequality tells us that the chain  $f(z, t)$  satisfies the equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = z \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} p(z, t)$$

with a function  $p(z, t)$  which is regular in  $D$  for each  $t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$  and has positive real part there. It turns out from the above considerations that  $f(z, t)$  satisfies all the assumptions of the famous lemma of Pommerenke (Lemma 3, [4]). Hence  $f(z, t)$  is univalent in  $D$  for each  $t \in \langle 0, \infty \rangle$  by this lemma and so is  $f(z) = f(z, 0)$ . The proof of Theorem 4 has been completed.

It is easy to see that (10) implies the following equality

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} = 1 - \omega(z), \quad \text{where } |\omega(z)| \leq 1, \quad \omega(z) \neq 1 \quad \text{for } z \in D.$$

Combining this with (11) we obtain the following, equivalent to Theorem 4,

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $f(z) = z + \dots$  and  $\omega(z)$  be regular in  $D$  with  $f'(z) \neq 0$ ,  $|\omega(z)| \leq 1$  and  $\omega(z) \neq 1$  there. If the following inequality*

$$(12) \quad \left| \omega(z) + 2 \ln |z| \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{z\omega'(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} \right) \right| \leq 1$$

hold for  $z \in D$ , then  $f$  is in  $\hat{D}$ .

We come to the next section which contains concluding remarks.

**6.** It is worth emphasizing that all the considered limiting cases of Theorem 1, excluding the last one, determined functions belonging to  $B(0, \beta)$ . Now we will prove that there exists a function  $f$  which satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5 and such that  $f \notin B(0, \beta)$  for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To prove this, first we consider a function  $f$  of the form

$$(13) \quad f(z) = z \exp \left\{ \int_0^z \frac{1}{z} \left[ (1 - \omega(z)) \exp \left( \int_0^z \frac{\varphi(z)}{z} dz \right) - 1 \right] dz \right\},$$

where  $\omega$  and  $\varphi$  are regular in  $D$  and such that  $\omega(0) = \varphi(0) = 0$ ,  $|\omega(z)| < 1$ ,  $|\varphi(z)| < \frac{1}{2}$  for  $z \in D$ . The function  $f$  is univalent in  $D$  because it satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 5. Essentially, from (13) we have

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{z\omega'(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} = \varphi(z), \quad z \in D.$$

Hence by Schwarz Lemma we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \omega(z) + 2 \ln |z| \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{z\omega'(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} \right) \right| &= |\omega(z) + 2 \ln |z| |\varphi(z)| \\ &\leq |z| - |z| \ln |z| \leq 1 \quad \text{for } z \in D. \end{aligned}$$

Thus relation (12) is satisfied.

Let us remind that  $f \in B(0, \beta)$  satisfies the following inequality  $L(f, \beta, r, \Theta_1, \Theta_2) > -\pi$  whenever  $\Theta_1 < \Theta_2$  and  $r \in (0, 1)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} L(f, \beta, r, \Theta_1, \Theta_2) &= \int_{\Theta_1}^{\Theta_2} \left[ \operatorname{Re} \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right) - \beta \operatorname{Im} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right] d\Theta, \quad z = re^{i\Theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Let now  $f$  be given by (13), where we assume additionally that  $\varphi$  and  $\omega$  have real Taylor's coefficients. Then for each symmetric interval  $\langle -\Theta, \Theta \rangle$ , we have

$$L(f, \beta, r, -\Theta, \Theta) = \int_{-\Theta}^{\Theta} \operatorname{Re} \left[ \frac{z\omega'(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} + \varphi(z) \right] d\Theta, \quad z = re^{i\Theta}.$$

Now let  $\varphi(z) = -\frac{1}{2}z$ ,  $z \in D$ , and let  $\omega$  be schlicht mapping of  $D$  onto the simply connected domains bounded by the circle  $|z| = 1$  and the circle whose diameter is the segment  $\langle a, 1 \rangle$ ,  $0 < a < 1$  (a circular lune). Moreover, let  $\omega(-1) = -1$ ,  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $\omega(1) = a$ . It is easy to see that  $L(f, \beta, r, -\Theta, \Theta) = -\pi - \sin \Theta$  for  $|z| = 1$ , where  $\Theta = \Theta(a) \in (0, \pi)$ . Thus we can find  $r \in (0, 1)$ , and such that  $L(f, \beta, r, -\Theta, \Theta) < -\pi$  for  $|z| = r$  by obvious continuity considerations. It follows that  $f \notin B(0, \beta)$  for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Additionally, we inform that  $\omega(z) = 1 + \frac{1}{H_a(z)}$  and  $\Theta = \Theta(a) = \pi \frac{1-a}{1+a}$ , where  $H_a(z) = \frac{-i}{2\pi} \frac{1+a}{1-a} \ln \frac{1-ze^{i\Theta(a)}}{1-ze^{-i\Theta(a)}}$  maps univalently  $D$  onto a suitable vertical strip.

In the last proof this concrete representation of  $\omega$  was not quite necessary.

Finally, let us observe that Theorem 5 implies the following

**Theorem 6.** Let  $F(\zeta) = \zeta + b_0 + b_1\zeta^{-1} + \dots$ ,  $F'(\zeta) \neq 0$ , be regular in  $D^0 \setminus \{\infty\}$  and let  $w(\zeta)$ ,  $|w(\zeta)| \leq 1$ ,  $w(\zeta) \neq 1$ , be regular in  $D^0$ , where  $D^0 = \{\zeta : |\zeta| > 1\}$ . If the following inequality

$$\left| w(\zeta) + 2 \ln |\zeta| \left( 1 + \frac{\zeta F''(\zeta)}{F'(\zeta)} - \frac{\zeta F'(\zeta)}{F(\zeta)} + \frac{\zeta w'(\zeta)}{1 - w(\zeta)} \right) \right| \leq 1$$

holds for  $\zeta \in D^0$ , then  $F$  is univalent in  $D^0$ .

Essentially, let us put  $F(\zeta) = \frac{1}{f(z)}$  and  $w(\zeta) = w\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) = \omega(z)$ , where  $z = \frac{1}{\zeta}$ . Then  $f$  satisfies all the assumptions of Theorem 5, thus  $f$  is univalent in  $D$ . Hence we obtain our assertion.

#### REFERENCES

1. I.E. Bazilevič, *On a case of integrability in quadratures of the Löwner-Kufarev equation*, Mat. Sbornik **37** (1955), 471–476. (in Russian)
2. Z. Lewnadowski, *Some remarks on univalence criteria*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska, Sect. A **36/37** (1982/83), 87–95.
3. ———, *New remarks on some univalence criteria*, ibid. **41** (1987), 43–50.
4. Ch. Pommerenke, *Über die Subordination analytischer Funktionen*, J. reine angew. Math. **21** (1965), 159–173.
5. T. Sheil-Small, *On Bazilevič functions*, Quart J. Math. Oxford **23** (1972), 135–142.
6. L. Špaček, *Contribution à la théorie des fonctions univalentes*, Časopis Pešt. Mat. Fys. **62** (1932), 12–19.

#### PRZYPADKI GRANICZNE PEWNEGO KRYTERIUM JEDNOLISTNOŚCI

**Streszczenie.** Znane jest ([3]) następujące kryterium jednolistości

**Twierdzenie.** Niech  $a \geq \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $s = \alpha + \beta i$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\beta \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$  będą ustalonymi liczbami i niech  $f(z) = z + \dots$  i  $g(z)$  będą funkcjami regularnymi w  $D = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . Jeżeli dla  $z \in D$  prawdziwe są nierówności

$$\left| \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} - \frac{as}{\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{a|s|}{\alpha}$$

i

$$\left| |z|^{\frac{2a}{\alpha}} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)g(z)} + \left(1 - |z|^{\frac{2a}{\alpha}}\right) \left[ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + s \frac{zg'(z)}{g(z)} \right] - \frac{as}{\alpha} \right| \leq \frac{a|s|}{\alpha},$$

to  $f$  jest jednolista w  $D$ .

W artykule omówione są przypadki graniczne wartości parametrów  $a$ ,  $\alpha$  oraz  $\beta$ . Są one interesujące, gdyż otrzymujemy dobrze znane klasy jednolitych funkcji lub też nowe kryteria jednolistości.

Bronisławów, 11–15 stycznia, 1993 r.